

# Murray City Municipal Council

## Chambers

### Murray City, Utah

---

The Murray City Municipal Council met on Tuesday, September 18, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. for a meeting held in the Murray City Center Council Chambers, 5025 South State Street, Murray, Utah.

#### Council Members in Attendance:

Diane Turner, Chair	District #4
Dave Nicponski, Vice Chair	District #1
Dale Cox	District #2
Jim Brass	District #3
Brett Hales	District #5

#### Others in Attendance:

Blair Camp	Mayor	Jan Lopez	Council Director
Jennifer Kennedy	City Recorder	G.L. Critchfield	City Attorney
Craig Burnett	Police Chief	Jon Harris	Fire Chief
Danny Astill	Public Works Director	Tim Tingey	ADS Director
Danyce Steck	Finance Director	Sarah Kim	City Council
Carol Spackman Moss	Utah State House of Representatives	Bruce Cutler	Utah State House of Representatives
Citizens			

Council Member Turner called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

#### **Opening Ceremonies**

Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Carol Spackman Moss, Utah State House of Representatives

#### **Approval of Minutes**

1. Council Meeting – August 14, 2018
2. Council Meeting – August 21, 2018
3. Council Meeting – September 4, 2018

MOTION: Mr. Hales moved to approve all three sets of minutes. The motion was SECONDED by Mr. Cox. Voice vote taken, all "ayes."

#### **Special Recognition**

Ms. Turner asked the scouts in attendance to introduce themselves.

1. Murray City Council Employee of the Month, Dan White, Solid Waste Maintenance Worker, Murray City Public Works.

Staff Presentation: Brett Hales, Council Member and Danny Astill, Public Works Director

Mr. Hales said the Council started the Employee of the Month a few years ago. They felt it was important to be able to recognize the City's employees. He presented Mr. White with a certificate, a \$50 gift card and told him that his name would appear on the plaque located in the Council Chambers. He expressed his appreciation to Mr. White for all he does for the City.

Mr. Astill thanked the Mayor and Council for the opportunity to recognize Mr. White and spoke about the work Mr. White has done during his employment with the city.

2. Murray City Council Resident Service Award, Lynda Smart-Brown, KidsEat!

Staff Presentation: Jim Brass, Council Member

Mr. Brass explained that KidsEat! was started a few years ago when Ms. Smart-Brown noticed some children were taking food from the pantry at the Boys and Girls Club because they had no food at home to eat over the weekend. Ms. Smart-Brown started to fill backpacks with food, so these children could have something to eat over the weekend. She started by filling 10 backpacks each week and she now fills 250 to 300 backpacks each week. The backpacks she fills are delivered to children at the Boys and Girls Club, Neighborhood House, and several public schools. KidsEat! receives funding and donations from corporate sponsors and food drives. Mr. Brass presented Ms. Smart-Brown with an award.

Ms. Smart-Brown thanked the Council for the award and said she appreciates the support the city has given to KidsEat! She stated the KidsEat! program is successful because of all the people who have helped feed these children. She thanked her family and friends for their support and the KidsEat! volunteers also. Ms. Smart-Brown recognized some of the KidsEat! donors and thanked them for their support.

**Citizen Comments** – Comments are limited to 3 minutes unless otherwise approved by the Council.

Bruce Cutler – Utah House of Representatives

Representative Cutler reported on Operation Rio Grande. He said great things have happened in downtown Salt Lake City and a lot of individuals have received services. He spoke about the three phases of Operation Rio Grande which are: 1) provide public safety and restore order; 2) assessment and treatment; and 3) dignity of work.

Representative Cutler noted that some of the transient population has moved south into communities such as South Salt Lake, Murray, Midvale, Sandy and Draper. He said there are funds that have been set aside through the Utah Highway Patrol to give assistance to cities that are experiencing increased transient crime and if the city needs help to access those funds and resources to let him know.

Dave Quealy – Murray City, Utah

Mr. Quealy said the city is doing a sidewalk project in his neighborhood, which he and his neighbors are grateful for. Initially, Mr. Quealy thought he would come to this meeting to ask the Council to save the two big trees in front of his home as he was told they would need to come down in order to put in a new sidewalk. However, the trees were cut down today.

Mr. Quealy said it was important for him to come tonight to express his disappointment in losing the trees. He feels like the city could have graded the sidewalk so that his trees could have stayed. He added that none of his neighbors wanted sidewalks and he will just plant new trees. He feels like if there had been better communication between him and the city, everyone could have ended up being happy.

### **Consent Agenda**

1. Consider confirmation of the Mayor's appointment of Haley Oliphant to the Murray City Cultural Arts Advisory Board, fulfilling an unexpired term ending January 15, 2019.

MOTION: Mr. Brass moved to adopt the Consent Agenda. The motion was SECONDED by Mr. Hales.

#### Council roll call vote:

Mr. Hales	Aye
Mr. Nicponski	Aye
Mr. Cox	Aye
Mr. Brass	Aye
Ms. Turner	Aye

Motion passed 5-0

### **Public Hearings**

Staff and sponsor presentations and public comment will be given prior to Council action on the following matters:

1. Consider an ordinance amending the City's Fiscal Year 2018 – 2019 Budget

#### Staff Presentation: Danyce Steck, Finance Director

Ms. Steck said went over the budget amendments (See Attachment 1 for a list of the budget amendments).

The public hearing was open for public comments. No comments were given, and the public hearing was closed.

MOTION: Mr. Nicponski moved to adopt the ordinance. The motion was SECONDED by Mr. Brass.

#### Council roll call vote:

Mr. Hales	Aye
Mr. Nicponski	Aye
Mr. Cox	Aye
Mr. Brass	Aye
Ms. Turner	Aye

Motion passed 5-0

2. Consider an ordinance relating to land use; amends the General Plan from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential and amends the Zoning Map from R-1-8 to R-M-15 for the

properties located at approximately 770 West Apple Gate Way, Murray City, Utah, known as the Applegate Condominiums.

Applicant: Kyle Lind, Applegate HOA

Staff Presentation: Tim Tingey, ADS Director

Mr. Tingey noted that the Council has received and reviewed the minutes, application information, and comments that were made at the August 2, 2018 Planning Commission meeting where this item was discussed.

Mr. Tingey said when the Applegate Condominiums were initially built, they were zoned for medium density residential. In 1987, the property was zoned to R-1-8, which made the Applegate community a legal non-conforming property. That means that the condominiums are allowed to be there, but any changes or additions to them would require a significant public process.

Mr. Tingey stated there has been a lot of conversation about a project on this site. He said that tonight's discussion is for a General Plan amendment and rezone only and no project will be discussed. The Council will be evaluating the current zoning and determine if the proposal is appropriate.

Mr. Tingey explained that medium density projects are more appropriate to have along corridors such as 5300 South and Murray Blvd. because they can act as a buffer from commercial zones to single-family residential zones. Medium density projects encourage revitalization along key transportation corridors and in the core of the city. Medium density and high density are two different things and that high density is completely different. Medium density projects can be a maximum of 40 feet high, which is only five feet higher than what is allowed in a single-family residential zone.

The Planning Commission evaluated this proposal and recommended approval. Staff is also recommending approval, so the property's legal non-conforming status could be eliminated, and it could be brought into conformity with the zoning around it.

Mr. Nicponski asked if a second access road would be required if this property is rezoned.

Mr. Tingey replied if no additional units are built on this property, there would not be a requirement for a second access road. If there are any additional units placed on the property, whether they be single-family residential or medium density, there would be a requirement for a second access road to the property.

Kyle Lind – Applicant

Mr. Lind is the President of the Applegate Condominiums HOA. He explained this change will allow the condominiums to be revitalized. He said he represents the vast majority of the homeowners in the Applegate community who are overwhelmingly in support of being able to revitalize and add some units to the condominiums.

The public hearing was opened for public comment.

Jane Andersch – Murray City, Utah

Ms. Andersch said she is opposed to the rezone because she believes the new development, which is certain to follow, will destroy the green space that makes her neighborhood a place she wants to live. The five-acres, that Applegate's HOA President refers to as excess space, is in actuality, a beautiful park that is inches away from her backyard. She referred to three items in the General Plan: 1) to maintain parks and open spaces within neighborhoods; 2) to protect viable neighborhoods; and 3) to ensure that new development does not negatively impact the natural spaces within the city. She would like the Council to keep this area just like it is; low-density residential.

Carolyn Burke – Murray City, Utah

Ms. Burke said she supports this rezone. She would love for Applegate to be in a position where they did not have to make some changes. However, things have occurred, and the condominiums are in a situation where they cannot keep up financially or on the maintenance of the trees and grass. Someone is willing to come in and turn Applegate into something that is beautiful and refurbished; they are not turning the condominiums into high-rises.

Ms. Burke asked if this project doesn't come to fruition, is it right to rezone the condominiums to their proper zoning? Are there issues if one of the homes burned down and how would that be handled? Ms. Burke said the residents of Applegate will have a lot of control over what project goes into their community.

Ronald Dunn – Murray City, Utah

Mr. Dunn said the proposed Applegate expansion is designed to bail out the landlords who own half or more of the units and profitably rent them. These landlords lack interest in paying an assessment to update the run-down complex. They prefer to sell five acres of park-like land to an anonymous developer for \$3,000,000 to provide an immediate bailout to update the problematic units and repay the \$100,000 of the most recent HOA embezzlement scandal. Earlier, Applegate convinced the Planning Commission that cell phone towers would provide financial stability. Now, Mr. Dunn looks up from his front yard at a partially disassembled phone tower. This proposed bailout won't provide a long-term solution any more than the cell phone towers of yesteryear did.

The Applegate proposal is the latest of large developments affecting the northwest quadrant of Murray. Shortly the 320-unit apartment complex on Vine Street and Trax will be completed and occupied. Across the street, the lumber products company has moved to Sandy leaving a large footprint. Is it waiting for a developer to propose another large complex? The narrow street will be burdened with two large complexes across from each other complete with limited parking. The large, empty lot across from Smith's on 5300 South and 650 West has two for sale signs from different commercial real estate brokerage firms.

The Planning Commission, that makes decisions in their pre-meetings based on recommendations by the hired staff, is well on its way to altering the character of the northwest quadrant. Earlier in the 32 years of living in his home, Mr. Dunn watched his neighbors expand their homes because they wanted to remain in the neighborhood. He watched other neighbors sell their smaller homes to by nearby larger homes because they liked the neighborhood. No more. In recent years, his neighbors don't consider those options, preferring to sell instead and move to what are perceived to be more desirable locations such as Farmington and South Jordan where grade schools lack Horizon Elementary School's Title 1 designation.

The northwest quadrant is on the bubble. The pro-development decisions from Murray City government are overloading this section of the city with large questionable multi-unit developments. Mr. Dunn suggested the city should seriously consider, without the planning employees unneeded input, if Murray should follow the South Salt Lake City model in which the livability of residential neighborhoods is sacrificed to build huge complexes as profitable as they may be for the developers involved. Let's consider the Herriman precedent when the city recently said "no" to a large proposed development. Murray's northwest quadrant, South Salt Lake City and Midvale cannot absorb all of these large, towering developments when citizens of Herriman and Holladay refuse to do so. The neighborhood voters and taxpayers recommend denial of this unnecessary rezoning request.

Kristine Dunn – Murray City, Utah

Ms. Dunn said she attended the Planning Commission meeting several weeks ago. In the course of the meeting, one speaker suggested that the Commission could save themselves some time by stopping this zoning change by voting it down. One of the Planning Commission members responded, in a rather emotional way, by saying they would not save time in meetings by doing away with this democratic process.

Ms. Dunn said none of the residents thought this was a democratic process. This seems to be a process that allows the developer to attain what they want for maximum profit. There are zoning levels between the current zone of R-1-8 and R-M-15 that have not even been looked at or evaluated. That is because in order for the developer to agree to purchase the land for the amount that Applegate wants, it must be zoned R-M-15 to provide maximum profitability.

The residents were told at a meeting for this project that this zoning change was needed because if an Applegate unit burned down, it couldn't be rebuilt. That was disputed and proved to be false. The citizens were also told there were no plans. That was proved untrue when a couple weeks after a meeting, some of the residents were invited to Applegate for an open house and were shown what they hoped to build.

Ms. Dunn stated one of the problems she has is what was shown to her at the open house. Applegate wants to build up to 72 units. The size they showed for the structures could only be built if the new buildings are three stories high. Those units will have to be tightly placed in five acres so there will be little to no green space left.

Ms. Dunn reiterated there are plans. She wanted to know why the residents are not being shown a map of the proposed placement of the units and how the layout will look. If the new units bring in 25 elementary age children, that is another class full of students for Horizon Elementary. Bringing in portables does not expand the lunchroom size, the availability of bathrooms, or extra time in the day to schedule library, computer, gym or other special classes that are part of the school day.

Ms. Dunn told the Council that before they vote to pass this zoning, they need to be honest about what is needed. The developer wants this zoning change to generate revenue to pay for land while obtaining desired profit. She asked the Council to listen to the residents who live in the northwest quadrant of Murray. Their quality of life is being impacted by the decisions being made by the Council. She asked if their vote would be different if they lived where she lives, and would they care if their family members were being affected. She asked the Council to vote against this

change.

Ms. Dunn doesn't think this change is the answer to either Applegate's temporary financial problem or her neighborhood's problem. This whole process has been less than democratic and feels questionable as though decisions need to be snuck passed the residents because the residents are not deemed smart enough to understand. She asked the Council to consider everyone that is involved when they vote, not just those who want to receive or make money.

Jerry Andersch – Murray City, Utah

Mr. Andersch said this whole process has been a little disturbing to him from the beginning. There seems to be two universes in which this development exists. On one side, the property is being rezoned to fix a technicality that happened years ago. On the other side, there are people talking about how this great project will bring millions of dollars into Applegate to restore it.

Mr. Andersch said the public officials at Murray City are also saying there is no project that they know of which is terribly disingenuous and leaves the people of Murray wondering if they can trust their government. The idea that these buildings will only be five feet taller than a residential structure doesn't take into consideration that these buildings are not the length of one house.

Mr. Andersch said he has sympathy for the people who live in the Applegate Condominiums and he is sorry for their problems. He expressed his frustration with a multi-story monstrosity being built and destroying green space. He encouraged the Council to reject this proposal because there is something wrong with this process.

Brandon Burningham – Murray City, Utah

Mr. Burningham said he is opposed to this zoning change. The Applegate Condominiums are in his backyard and the people who live there have been good neighbors. His concern is about what changing the zoning could eventually lead to. His family loves the privacy they currently have, and he is concerned that people may be able to see into his backyard in the future. He is also concerned about the traffic that could impact the area with higher density housing being built.

Remington White – Murray City, Utah

Mr. White is the treasurer of the Applegate HOA. He said some of the comments he has heard tonight are upsetting. He knew people who lived at the Applegate Condominiums many years before he actually purchased his own condominium there and has seen the property go downhill over the years.

Mr. White said this rezone is not a moneymaking scheme. When he came on the board there was no budget and in the last three years, things seem to be turning around. He feels like the residents in opposition are seeing something completely different than what is actually happening. He supports this rezone that feels it will really help the condominiums.

Miniaann McFadden – Murray City, Utah

Ms. McFadden supports the zone change and has no idea why the zoning was changed 30 years ago. She would like to see the condominiums be conforming for many reasons. She said she has not seen any plans and is amazed her neighbors have. She reiterated that this public hearing is strictly to change the zoning.

Austin Strong – Murray City, Utah

Mr. Strong said he feels like a lot of his neighbors don't care about what happens in Applegate. He finds it interesting that the people who live nearby don't care that if there is a fire, it will be tough for the residents of Applegate to rebuild their homes. It would be nice to have this property rezoned, it would be beneficial for lot of the people who live in Applegate.

Margaret Horton – Murray City, Utah

Ms. Horton said she is worried about the current capacity of the neighborhood storm drains and how rezoning would add to the problems. When a big rainstorm occurs, the water lands in front of her house in the storm drain. Over the past 20 years, she has had two major floods due to the storm drains filling to capacity and overflowing into her window wells.

Ms. Horton has had Murray utilities come to her home to check for debris in the pipes near the storm drain. She was told the drains were clear and that the storm drains do not have the capacity to hold the water coming down from the neighboring streets. She urged the Council to consider what impact this rezone may have on her neighborhood.

George Coan – Murray City, Utah

Mr. Coan said he owns two units in Applegate but does not live there. He said every homeowner was asked if they wanted to pursue a zone change. Over 115 Applegate homeowners voted and 85% of them said they would like to see a rezone. Parks are expensive to maintain and take care of. As a property owner, he likes his properties to look nice and be well taken care of.

Mr. Coan said he has a property in Draper and recently 15 houses were built across the backyard. Land is expensive to develop and maintain. He encouraged anyone that wants a park in their backyard to purchase this undeveloped property and maintain it.

Mr. Coan said there are many steps that will need to be gone through before they will be able to build anything on the property. He said he would like to see this zone change approved.

Lantz Monson – Murray City, Utah

Mr. Monson said he has no problems with the Applegate community. He realizes this is a tough issue, but he doesn't want a building at the back of his home. He hopes the Council takes everyone's comments into consideration when they make their decision.

Ms. Turner closed the public hearing.

Mr. Tingey reiterated this is only about the rezone. He has not seen a formal proposal, but he knows there are probably some out there. The city is following what the state law requires the city to do as far as process. If there is a project that comes forward that meets the standards of the code, there will be another process to consider that project. During that process, items such as access to the property and the storm drain, would be looked at. Mr. Tingey said if this rezone is not approved, there could still be another project proposed under R-1-8 that could eliminate the open space.

Mr. Brass asked Mr. Tingey if there are any other zoning possibilities other than an R-M-15 zone.

Mr. Tingey replied the applicant has proposed a rezone to R-M-15. If this rezone is rejected tonight

other options include R-1-8 or other medium density such as R-M-6 or R-M-10. A high-density project could be requested but staff would not approve it because it would not be conducive to the General Plan.

Mr. Brass asked how many acres of open space are at the Applegate Condominiums.

Mr. Tingey replied he thought it was between five and six acres.

Mr. Brass said he is struggling with this. He has learned that when there is a zone change request not to consider a project and only to look at whether the zone is appropriate or not. He asked how difficult it would be to make changes to the exterior on a legal non-conforming building.

Mr. Tingey said improvements to the siding or painting is not a problem. If they want to expand any of the footprint they would have to go through a process with the city's Hearing Officer which takes one to two months.

Mr. Nicponski said he has thought long and hard about this and he has concluded that he needs to support the residents. He feels the current zoning of R-1-8 is appropriate and would vote against this proposal to rezone.

MOTION: Mr. Hales moved to adopt the ordinance. The motion was SECONDED by Mr. Brass.

Mr. Brass said he sympathizes with the residents, but it is difficult to own a property that is legal-nonconforming.

Ms. Turner reminded the residents that the only item before the Council is a proposed General Plan amendment and rezone; there is no development before the Council.

Council roll call vote:

Mr. Hales	Aye
Mr. Nicponski	Nay
Mr. Cox	Aye
Mr. Brass	Aye
Ms. Turner	Aye

Motion passed 4-1

Mr. Hales and Mr. Cox noted their votes were due to the rezoning only. Mr. Cox stated he understands what the implications of a future development could be, but we'll cross that bridge when we come to it. This is strictly about rezoning and correcting a mistake.

**Business Items**

1. Consider a resolution approving an amendment to an Interlocal Agreement with member entities of the Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility.

Staff presentation: Danny Astill, Public Works Director

Mr. Astill said his department has been working on this amendment with the Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility and that all of the other entities involved in the agreement have agreed to

and signed the amendment.

MOTION: Mr. Hales moved to adopt the resolution. The motion was SECONDED by Mr. Cox.

Council roll call vote:

Mr. Hales	Aye
Mr. Nicponski	Aye
Mr. Cox	Aye
Mr. Brass	Aye
Ms. Turner	Aye

Motion passed 5-0

**Mayor's Report and Questions**

Mayor Camp said the Fire Department has been assisting with the Pole Creek fire in Utah County. The auxiliary truck that has been down there, along with three firefighters, will return tomorrow. The Fire Department has received a request to send a reserve ambulance along with two medics down to Utah County as well.

Mayor Camp said that due to the I-15 reconstruction project there will be a full closure on 5900 South between Sanford Drive and Stratler Street starting Saturday, September 22, from 11:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. They will have to do this for four to five straight nights.

Mayor Camp said attended the Governor's idle free declaration that was held at the City-County building and sponsored by Utah Clean Cities. Murray was recognized as being one of eight cities with an anti-idling ordinance.

**Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 8:18 p.m.

---

Jennifer Kennedy, City Recorder

# Attachment 1



Murray City Hall  
5025 South State Street  
Murray, Utah 84107  
[www.murray.utah.gov](http://www.murray.utah.gov)

Danyce Steck, CPFO  
Finance Director  
(801) 264-2669  
[dsteck@murray.utah.gov](mailto:dsteck@murray.utah.gov)

To: Murray City Municipal Council  
From: Danyce Steck, Finance Director  
Date: August 27, 2018  
Re: Fiscal Year 2019 Budget Opening

A budget opening has been requested for September 18<sup>th</sup>. This opening will request funds for the following purposes:

- Projects in-progress at FY 2018 year-end (CIP annual roll-forward)
- Receive and allocate for several grant awards
- Reconcile changes in wages and benefit costs due to open enrollment and new hires

The following outlines the items that have been requested for your approval for the fiscal year 2019 budget:

#### **General Fund**

**Total Reserve Request: \$215,000**

##### *Carry-forward Projects*

1. The following road projects were under contract as of June 30, 2018 and had budget amounts remaining that need to be carried forward to the current fiscal year. I recommend \$215,000 be appropriated from reserves to the Class C Roads budget for these projects.
  - a. \$80,000 for road sealing projects, and;
  - b. \$135,000 for maintenance of Riverpoint Circle.

##### *Grants and Reimbursements*

*All the following grants and reimbursements represent both revenue and expense requests; therefore, there is no financial impact to the City.*

2. The City received a check in the amount of \$31,907 from the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (HIDTA) Grant for administrative and accounting services for the DEA Metro Task Force. Since this compensation is for work performed by the Finance and Police Department staff, I recommend this amount be added to non-departmental miscellaneous expense.
3. The Valley Emergency Communications Center (VECC) is requiring the City to install a new alerting system. Since this is a requirement of VECC, they will reimbursing the City for the full cost of the system. I recommend this agreement be added to the budget in the amount of \$126,533.

4. The City received a check from the FY2017 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) to purchase supplies and/or equipment for the Police Department. I recommend this agreement be added to the budget in the amount of \$33,401.
5. The City received a check from the State Asset Forfeiture Grant (CCJJ-SAFG) to purchase supplies and/or equipment for the Police Department. There is no financial impact to the City. I recommend this agreement be added to the budget in the amount of \$5,096.
6. The City received a grant from the Emergency Management Preparedness Grant (EMPG) to reimburse the City for work performed by the Fire Department. There is no financial impact to the City. I recommend this agreement be added to the budget in the amount of \$5,500.
7. The City received a grant from the Victims of Crime Act Grant (VOCA) to reimburse the City for victim's advocate program managed by the Police Department. I recommend this agreement be added to the budget in the amount of \$15,921.

*Other*

*The following requests are a reclassification of expenses and have no financial impact to the City.*

8. A reconciliation of wages and benefits was performed for all departments after the compensation study was implemented and open enrollment was complete. There is a savings of \$65,814. I recommend this amount be moved to non-departmental miscellaneous expense.
9. Due to some technical requirements, the Engineering Department has requested an exchange of projects between the CIP Fund and the General Fund Class C Roads Department. All projects will still be completed as recommended by the CIP Committee and approved in the FY2019 budget. This exchange only affects the funding source the City uses to pay for the projects.

The financial impact of this exchange is a savings of \$5,000 to the General Fund. I recommend this amount be added to non-departmental miscellaneous expense.

**Redevelopment Agency Fund**

**Total Reserve Contribution: \$100,000**

*Other*

10. The Intermountain Kem C. Gardner Transformation Center is purchasing property located in the Central Business District in the amount of \$100,000. I recommend this revenue be placed in reserves.

**Capital Projects Fund****Total Reserve Request: \$11,277,000***Carry-forward Projects*

11. Public Works has requested the following capital projects be carried forward to the current fiscal year. These projects were under contract as of June 30, 2018 and had budget remaining at fiscal year-end. I recommend \$11,277,000 be appropriated from reserves for these projects.

- a. \$29,000 for vehicle and equipment for the Fire Department, and;
- b. \$30,000 for two (2) message boards for the Streets Department, and;
- c. \$30,000 for vehicle and equipment for the Streets Department, and;
- d. \$24,000 for mill and overlay at 5770 South, and;
- e. \$40,000 for signal work at Winchester and 1300 West, and;
- f. \$185,000 for improvements to Fashion Blvd, Vine Street, and 5735 South, and;
- g. \$52,000 for improvements to 5900 South, and;
- h. \$250,000 for construction at Commerce and Vine, and;
- i. \$196,000 for design and site work on Hanauer, and;
- j. \$100,000 for bike lanes on 700 West, and;
- k. \$100,000 for the pool deck replacement at the Park Center, and;
- l. \$5,000 for the for architectural services for the Murray Theater for grant submittals, and;
- m. \$156,000 for Microsoft Office and Munis software systems, and;
- n. \$123,000 for environmental studies and work in the downtown area, and;
- o. \$5,717,000 of bond-funded reserves for the construction of Fire Station 81, and;
- p. \$1,440,000 for the planning and design of City Hall, and;
- q. \$2,800,000 for acquisition of the school district property adjacent to City Hall.

*Other*

12. Due to some technical requirements and scope issues, the Engineering Department has requested an exchange of projects between the CIP Fund and the General Fund Class C Roads Department. All projects will still be completed as recommended by the CIP Committee and approved in the budget. This exchange only affects the funding source the City uses to pays for the projects.

The financial impact of this exchange is \$5,000 from the Capital Projects Fund reserves.

**Water Fund****Total Reserve Request: \$1,135,000***Carry-forward Projects*

13. Public Works has requested the following water system project be carried forward to the current fiscal year. These projects were under contract as of June 30, 2018 and had budget remaining at year-end. I recommend \$1,135,000 be appropriated from reserves for these projects.

- a. \$70,000 for well equipment for the 360 West and Whitmore well projects, and;
- b. \$65,000 for well rehabilitation for those same wells, and;
- c. \$1,000,000 for the pipeline replacement project on 4500 South.

**Wastewater Fund**

**Total Reserve Request: \$452,000**

*Carry-forward Projects*

14. Public Works has requested the following wastewater system project be carried forward to the current fiscal year. This project was under contract as of June 30, 2018 and had budget remaining at year-end. I recommend \$452,000 be appropriated from reserves for this project.

- a. \$452,000 for the Walden Glen Lift Station project.

**Power Fund**

**Total Reserve Request: \$612,106**

*Carry-forward Projects*

15. The following power system projects were under contract as of June 30, 2018 and had budget amounts remaining that need to be carried forward to the current fiscal year. I recommend \$560,000 be appropriated from reserves for these projects.

- a. \$250,000 for the SCADA and OMS systems, and;
- b. \$310,000 for two (2) line trucks currently in production.

*Other*

16. A reconciliation of wages and benefits was performed for power department after the compensation study was implemented and open enrollment was complete. There is a savings of \$22,894 that I recommend be contributed to reserves.

17. The Power Department has seen an increase in requests for new service from the construction of multi-family housing. As a result, the Power Department has requested additional funding in the amount of \$75,000 to replenish their inventory of small residential meters.

**Solid Waste Fund**

**Total Reserve Request: \$35,000**

*Carry-forward Projects*

18. Public Works has requested to carry-forward \$35,000 for the purchase of a small loader for the Solid Waste Department.

**Storm Water Fund**

**Total Reserve Request: \$1,341,425**

*Carry-forward Projects*

19. Public Works has requested the following storm water system project be carried forward to the current fiscal year. This project was under contract as of June 30, 2018 and had budget remaining at year-end. I recommend \$1,341,425 of bond-funded reserves from the Series 2016 Storm Water Revenue Bonds be appropriated for the Utahna and Clover Meadow storm drain projects.

Please let me know if you have any questions. You can reach me at (801) 264-2669 or at [dsteck@murray.utah.gov](mailto:dsteck@murray.utah.gov).