

Murray City Municipal Council Chambers Murray City, Utah

The Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah, met on Tuesday, the 18th day of February, 2014 at 6:30 p.m., for a meeting held in the Murray City Council Chambers, 5025 South State Street, Murray, Utah.

Roll Call consisted of the following:

Brett Hales,	Council Chair
Jim Brass,	Council Member – Excused
Blair Camp,	Council Member
Diane Turner,	Council Member – Conducted
Dave Nicponski,	Council Member

Others who attended:

Ted Eyre,	Mayor
Jan Wells,	Chief Administrative Officer
Jennifer Kennedy,	City Recorder
Frank Nakamura,	City Attorney
Janet Lopez,	Council Administrator
Janet Towers,	Executive Assistant to the Mayor
Rondi Knowlton,	Mayor’s Office
Craig Burnett,	Deputy Police Chief
Doug Roberts,	Police Lieutenant
Gil Rodriguez,	Fire Chief
Doug Hill,	Public Services Director
Tim Tingey,	Administrative and Development Services Director
Tom Baker,	Facilities Work Coordinator
Scouts	
Citizens	

5. Opening Ceremonies

5.1 Pledge of Allegiance – Sally Hoffelmeyer-Katz

5.2 Approval of Minutes

Ms. Turner asked that the minutes be approved together; no objections noted.

5.2.1 January 21, 2014

5.2.2 February 4, 2014

Mr. Nicponski made a motion to approve the minutes

Mr. Hales seconded the motion

Voice vote taken, all “ayes.”

5.3 Special Recognition

5.3.1 Murray City Council Employee of the Month, Tom Baker, Facilities Work Coordinator.

Staff presentation: Ted Eyre, Mayor and Brett Hales, Council Member

Mr. Hales stated this is his favorite thing to do during the month. He said this is a great opportunity to be here. Mr. Baker is a doer; he is just one of those guys that is a doer. He does not say much; his actions do the talking. On behalf of the Council, Mr. Hales presented Mr. Baker with a certificate and a \$50.00 gift card. Mr. Hales thanked Mr. Baker for his work and turned the time over to Mayor Eyre.

Mayor Eyre said he wished the room was filled with all the employees whose lives Mr. Baker has touched. Mayor Eyre also thanked Mr. Hales because he was one of the Council Members that brought this idea to fruition, that we should have and recognize an Employee of the Month. Mayor Eyre said he has only been around to see six to eight of these presentations, but this is the first one that the Mayor’s Office has been able to participate in. Mayor Eyre said this is a great opportunity to recognize somebody that has made a significant impact, not only in their job but in Mr. Baker’s case, the entire City.

Mayor Eyre continued saying that Mr. Baker was hired on March 10, 1997. Mayor Eyre said he thinks that every Department Head would have liked to have been able to give this award to Mr. Baker but the Council agreed this year to allow the Mayor’s Office to be involved in the selection of an employee of the month. Being there are only a few people in the Mayor’s Office, but they are over the responsibility of each of the

departments, they were given the opportunity to choose one employee out of any department to be their employee of the month. Out of all the departments and all the people they had the opportunity to meet over the last few months; Mr. Baker was at the very top of the list. Mayor Eyre added that he has been waiting to do this for so long; almost six weeks. He learned about Mr. Baker long before he had an opportunity to meet him and he has seen Mr. Baker's work within the Mayor's office and everywhere else.

Mayor Eyre said he wanted to take a minute and share some of the things that Mr. Baker has done in the City. Normally, you only have the opportunity to affect the lives and to influence the people in a certain department. However, Mr. Baker has been in a position and has excelled so much in his position that it has affected every department. Mr. Baker's fingerprints will be left in this City long after all of us are gone.

Mr. Baker has worked in the Recorder's Office and done painting, built desks and bookstands. He has worked in the Purchasing Office installing insulation. He has worked in the Finance Office building desks, stands, bookcases and file cabinets. He has reconfigured the entire Treasurer's and Customer Service Office and put in windows for them. He has done work in the Mayor's Office. In the last month he has removed and installed walls and ceiling panels for them. The things Mr. Baker does are amazing.

Mr. Baker has done some remodeling in the Council Chambers along with adding new carpet and ramps. He has even done work in other buildings such as the Courthouse, Power Department, Parks Department, and the Public Services Department.

Mr. Baker had to break away from his current job in the Mayor's Office to go over and work in the animal shelter to build some cages and things for them. He has also done a lot of work over at the Heritage Center.

Mayor Eyre added that there really is not a building or department that Mr. Baker has not touched and had in influence on. He added that Mr. Baker has a few other duties such as being in charge of City Hall and the ground work and being over the janitors, the boilers and generators. He is in charge of the HVAC, roof repair, and snow removal. Mr. Baker is basically in charge of everything from snow removal to the Christmas tree.

Mayor Eyre said that anyone who knows Mr. Baker, especially those who are related to him, have every right in the world to be so proud of a man that has made such an impact on the City.

Every Department Head wanted to write something about Mr. Baker but

Mayor Eyre read one comment that represents all of the Department Heads.

“Tom is an excellent employee who regularly puts an extra effort to do his job more than just effectively. He has a can-do attitude and is willing to do whatever is needed to help improve our City facilities. He is congenial, positive, diplomatic, and does not seek for any credit for all of his efforts. His attitude, work, and efforts are greatly appreciated by himself and by every department in the City.”

Mr. Baker introduced his family. He said he was very appreciative of this and honored. It is nice to be recognized for your work and he said that Murray City has been a wonderful place to work. He has met a lot of good people and he is sure he will continue doing exactly what he has been doing. He thanked everyone.

6. **Citizen Comments** (Comments are limited to 3 minutes unless otherwise approved by the Council.)

No comments given.

7. **Consent Agenda**

7.1 None scheduled.

8. **Public Hearings**

8.1 None scheduled.

9. **Unfinished Business**

9.1 None scheduled.

10. **New Business**

10.1 Consider a Resolution expressing the City’s willingness to participate and cooperate in the study of the feasibility, financial and legal implications and risks impacts of a public-private partnership project between the Utah Telecommunications Open Infrastructure Agency (UTOPIA), the Utah Infrastructure Agency (UIA) and the Macquarie Infrastructure Development LLC. (“Macquarie”) subject to certain conditions.

Staff presentation: Doug Hill, Public Services Director

Mr. Hill said as the City’s UTOPIA Board Representative he has been tracking

what has been going on with UTOPIA for the past month or so that he has been a Board Member. Last Council Meeting, in the Committee of the Whole two weeks ago, they made an extensive presentation on the proposal that Macquarie Capital has presented to all the UTOPIA cities.

As the Council is aware, UTOPIA and UIA signed an agreement with Macquarie in December 2013 to do a feasibility study of a possible utility model for these cities. Mr. Hill said if he could summarize what a utility model is; it is in nutshell, where Macquarie in this particular case as the private partner is proposing that they would investigate the feasibility of charging all of the citizens and businesses within all of the member agencies a fee. It would be a required fee and this fee would then be used to build out a telecommunications fiber network throughout all of the cities; there would be a 100% build out. For this fee there would be a base access to the intranet with the intranet being the fiber optics.

Mr. Hill added that we do not yet know what the details are, that would be the purpose of the feasibility study. Macquarie has, in this agreement that was signed, identified four milestones that they would complete. Each milestone provides additional information and obviously the further you get into it, the more information Macquarie would be able to research and provide. There is a caveat that at the end of the fourth milestone the cities would be able to make a decision on whether or not they wanted to move forward with this utility model to build out the infrastructure.

The way the development agreement is currently structured is that all of the costs of the feasibility study would be paid for by Macquarie unless there were decisions to jump out of the agreement after each milestone. Murray City is a 13% owner in UTOPIA. As a result Murray City, under this agreement, would be responsible for paying 13% of those costs should there ever be a termination of the agreement after each of these milestones.

That is what is being proposed by Macquarie and the Resolution that is before the Council tonight is to express their willingness to move forward with this feasibility study. It is for the Council to do due diligence to determine whether this is something that the Council would want to move forward with or not.

Mr. Nicponski stated that once we engage milestone one aren't we locked in.

Mr. Hill replied that essentially we are locked in right now because the agreement has been signed by, and on behalf, of the member entities. We are essentially moving through this and are locked in until milestone four. However, and Frank Nakamura, City Attorney may want to speak to this, there is discussion about another agreement that is being prepared as we speak that would allow each of the cities to exit after each milestone so we would essentially not be locked in through milestone four as we are now.

Mr. Hales asked to know more information about that. He said it was important to him.

Frank Nakamura, City Attorney said that under the current agreement we are locked in based on our percentage of ownership in the two entities. He said his understanding is, although he has not seen a draft yet, that there would be an agreement between the cities, and again that is presuming all the member cities would sign it that would allow a city to use one of the off ramps to get out of the agreement. Obviously there would be some cost associated with that which he is thinking would be based on the percentage of ownership. He reiterated that he has not seen that agreement.

Mr. Nakamura said that he has to presume right now that we are locked in because we are a member of the entities. Currently that is where it stands. He added that he has been advised that there is an agreement forthcoming.

Mr. Hales said that if we did not do this, it does not matter. This is happening because Todd Marriott, the former CEO of UTOPIA, signed that agreement and had the right to do that for us. He asked Mr. Nakamura if that was correct.

Mr. Nakamura responded that yes, Mr. Marriott did sign the agreement. He said he did not think there has been a challenge to that authority nor was there a challenge to the budget appropriation. He added that the agreement is signed and right now it is on behalf of the UIA and UTOPIA and we are members of it.

Mr. Nakamura added that what he is saying is there may be a subsequent agreement that would change to allow a city to withdraw at a certain point. He does not think this agreement will happen before milestone one though because he has not seen an agreement.

Mr. Nicponski asked if there was a bid process. He asked if they just went and came up with Macquarie.

Mr. Hill responded that it was his understanding in talking with Macquarie that Macquarie did seek out UTOPIA because this is the type of business model that fits what they do. There were some negotiations, which Mr. Hill was not involved with or privy to, with Macquarie which resulted in this predevelopment agreement. He said he was not aware of any bids that were put out.

Mr. Nicponski said that this may be a business model that they are accustomed to but they are not a fiber oriented business.

Mr. Hill replied they are not. They are an investment banking company and they are not a fiber company but they do have ownership in fiber related companies. As they have represented, they have consultants onboard that are fiber related companies.

Mr. Nicponski said there was no bid process, we did not give an opportunity to CenturyLink or AT&T or Comcast to come up with an offering.

Mr. Hill stated that was his understanding; that is correct.

Mr. Nicponski said this is the kind of document that he would expect to spend some time on and get legal perspective as well as get Mr. Nakamura's perspective. Maybe even have someone from UTOPIA there to give us their perspective. It seems to be an awful lot to read through and not get some legal questions answered.

Mr. Nicponski continued saying he thinks they should have had some legal briefing. His lay-perception of this document is that once we get locked into this, Macquarie holds all the cards. We are really not in a very good position. He said he is not sure if we would even be able to back out.

Mr. Nicponski asked what the cost would be to Murray; how big of a deal is this.

Mr. Hill said that the total estimated cost to complete milestone four is 5.33 million dollars.

Mr. Nicponski asked what it is going to cost to build everything out; what are they projecting.

Mr. Hill responded that Macquarie is in the process of getting bid estimates right now on that so he does not know that he knows that exact cost. Although he has heard it is somewhere close to 300 million dollars.

Mr. Nicponski asked what Mr. Hill estimates the Murray share would be of that 300 million dollars.

Mr. Hill said it would be a smaller amount because Murray City is currently 61% built out. To build out all of Murray City, we only have 39% more to go.

He added to put that into perspective, some of the cities, like West Valley and Layton, do not have any fiber in their city. The investment is going towards those cities that do not have anything compared to what Murray has.

Mr. Nakamura added that he thinks that is part of what the feasibility study is all about.

Mr. Nicponski asked if the utility fee would be the same among all the cities or would it be weighted.

Mr. Hill said the discussion has been, and this is again from what he knows....

Mr. Nakamura interjected saying that again, that is all part of the feasibility study. We do not have any answers and that is why we are doing a feasibility study, to answer some of these questions. At the end of the process, or at least until milestone one, we may have answers.

Mr. Nicponski asked Mr. Nakamura if there is an exit opportunity.

Mr. Nakamura replied there is not at this time.

Mr. Hales said that he thought there was.

Mr. Nakamura said that for the organization as a whole, yes there is. If UIA, via weighted voting by the Board, decides that they want out after milestone one they can do that.

Mr. Nicponski said but a single city cannot do it.

Mr. Nakamura said not right now but he is anticipating an agreement that would allow that.

Mr. Hales said this has already been done, meaning Todd Marriott signed it. Whether or not we say yes we would like to look into this or no. If we said no we do not want to do this, they are doing it anyway.

Mr. Nakamura said no because he is anticipating this agreement. Should that agreement come and the cities approve it, then this decision is made. The City is saying Murray can say we are out. He admitted that he has not seen a draft of that agreement. It has been represented to the City that the agreement is forthcoming.

Mr. Hales asked if we could wait for that agreement.

Mr. Hill said that he thinks the answer is yes you can wait. This Resolution is expressing the City's willingness to go on with this feasibility study. Regardless of whether you pass the Resolution or not, phase one, milestone one, is moving forward. If you would rather wait until you see this agreement then Mr. Hill thinks that.....

Mr. Hales interjected that it does not slow us up at all because it is going to happen anyway. It just protects us better.

Mr. Hill said that is correct but other UTOPIA and UIA member cities would like to know as soon as possible. So would Macquarie, they would like to know as soon as possible whether Murray City wants to move forward with this. They are doing research as we speak. They are making decisions as we speak and the sooner that they know whether Murray is willing to move forward or not helps

them with some of their decisions. He added that he would say we are under no obligation to have to pass this Resolution this evening.

Mr. Hales said that Jim Brass, Council Member, knows a lot about this stuff. He has been into this for years and he is very savvy with this. It might be wise to wait for conversation sake. He is very savvy with this stuff. Then if it does not stop us, because it is happening anyway....those are his thoughts.

Mr. Nicponski stated this utility fee scares him because there is really no solid estimate. He has heard everything from \$18 to \$50 per month. He looked at this document and it is kind of, if they have to raise it, it is at their dispose to raise it. He asked if that fee would have to come before the Council.

Mr. Nakamura replied of course but again none of that has been decided because that is what the purpose of the study is about, to answer all of those questions.

Mr. Hill said in other words, we do not know what that fee is. There has been a lot of speculation but nobody knows what that fee is, including Macquarie. We will have an indication of what that fee is going to be after milestone one because that is part of milestone one. We will have a better idea when milestone one is completed. They are saying it is going to be approximately six weeks to complete milestone one. He said that within six weeks we will have an idea of what that fee is going to be or very close to what it is going to be.

Mr. Hill added to Mr. Nakamura's point and Mr. Nicponski's question is that to move forward with this, we are not committed to do anything until after milestone four is completed, assuming that we move forward with all of this. There would have to be a concession agreement that would be signed to move forward with the build out and charging the fee. That would have to come before the City Council.

Mayor Eyre said they have been to all those meetings and they have listened to the arguments on both sides. This feasibility study that we are involved in right now, whether we want to be or not, the total cost of milestone one is estimated to be about \$480,000. There are nine different consultants and nine different areas involved in that. If the agreement is received as Macquarie has suggested they would give us before the end of that, we would have the option to get out at the end of milestone one. If we do that we would pay, he thinks, 12.37% of the total amount that was spent on that study.

We have promised the people of Murray City that we would do our own due diligence on every aspect in considering a proper solution for UTOPIA. Whether it was going dark, making it a utility model, increasing the viability of it or whatever, we would do our due diligence. Here we have a large international company with unbelievable resources that is willing to do that due diligence for us in many different areas that we would not be able to do because of the financial constraints. We just do not have \$480,000 to go out and do a phase one feasibility

study. When we join together with the other ten cities and share the cost of that, we all reap the benefits of knowing where to go from here. That is the important thing about it.

Mayor Eyre said this is one of the different solutions that might come up regarding UTOPIA and how we should approach it; as a group or as City itself. If we do what we promised to our residents we will look over this due diligence, which is going forward right now, and be able to determine from that at a very reasonable place, even if we pull out of it, some valuable information that will let us determine which direction we should go in.

Mayor Eyre stated he thinks that for the information we are going to receive from this company in so many different areas, even if we do pull out and have to pay that 12.37%, it is a pretty small price to pay to make our promise to the citizens that we are going to investigate in detail every single option that we can and then make the best decision.

Mr. Hales said that we still, like Mr. Hill said, we will be voting before any fee for anything.

Mr. Nakamura answered absolutely. Again, the good work here is due diligence. That is what we are going through, a due diligence. There are experts that are going to be on board that we would certainly love to have to do our own due diligence. This is a good way in participating in this. That is the word we are searching for; this is a due diligence.

Mr. Nicponski said that maybe he is being too simple but this does start us down the path for a utility fee hike. He has real problems with that. His constituents mean a lot to him. They really stepped up and endorsed him when he had unbelievable opposition. A group of citizens got together and said we believe what he said and we are going to vote for him. He is not comfortable violating their trust. He really cares for his constituents and he knows what this would mean to them.

Mr. Hales verified that what Mr. Nicponski just stated, the Mayor said the opposite. He asked Mayor Eyre if he had said this was a due diligence on the City's part that our constituents would expect us to do.

Mayor Eyre replied he thinks they would honor the effort, he honestly does. He added he thinks we owe it to our citizens to look into this and get a Murray perspective. The only way that we can have this broad-based due diligence done is by a company of this level and be able to share the cost in that.

He added that if he were a citizen living in any of the districts, he would want the City to have the availability of all the information it possibly could so it could make the best decision.

Mayor Eyre said that if what has been represented to us is factual, then at the end of that first process, that first milestone, we will be able to make a decision and go on from there. If we were to back out now and not participate in this at all, he does not think we would have that opportunity. He thinks we would be doing our citizens a service and eventually they will be able to see that it is valuable to us regardless of which way we use it, for or against it. He would hope we would be available to that service and information.

Mr. Nicponski asked if a citizen referendum is obtainable.

Mr. Nakamura replied that we have not voted for a utility fee or not. Referendums are available. That is another process that is always available to the citizenry regarding the making of laws. Referendums are always available.

Mayor Eyre said the reason that this has come up to be so preminent is because this is one of the solutions that has a time table to it. As Mr. Hill has indicated, they are in the process of that right now and they are going to be completing that within the next few weeks. We really do not have the time to take all the different other solutions and find out all the details about them because this one has a particular time table to it that they are committed to and that the other cities are committed too. This one has kind of taken the forefront because of that and it is going to be completed in a matter of a few weeks.

In order to get the information at the end of this one, that will be forthcoming before we have much time to get a referendum. Mayor Eyre said he thinks one might be available or appropriate after we have that information, but we cannot slow down the process now.

Ms. Turner said she agrees with Mayor Eyre. She thinks we have a responsibility to our citizens in Murray to do our due diligence and make sure that we have considered all the possibilities and considered the feasibility. We are going to have to anyway at some point and this is a good time to do it.

Mr. Camp stated to Mayor Eyre that based on what he has said here, his administrations recommendation is to move forward with this.

Mayor Eyre responded yes and please do not misinterpret that recommendation as saying that he is for or against Macquarie. He is for getting the information and then making a determination. That does not mean that he is making a play for Macquarie. All he wants is the information and then we have some very bright people in this room today and in this City that will help us make a determination that is best for Murray.

Mr. Camp said he thinks we do need to look at all the options. We need a new direction. Ten years later we are in an organization that needs a new direction. As

he read through this Resolution, it simply states that we are expressing our willingness to participate and cooperate in the study and look at the feasibility. He thinks we owe that to the citizens because they all want to do what is best for the residents. We really do need to find solutions. He thinks this agreement, expressing our willingness to participate and cooperate, is something that we are already in the middle of the process and we should at least show that we are willing to participate and cooperate. He thinks we are already doing that by attending all these meetings and being engaged in all this dialogue, negotiating the contracts and so forth.

Mr. Hales added that Mr. Hill, Justin Zollinger, Finance Director and the Mayor have been phenomenal and the Council has a lot of faith in them. This is one that he has battled with a little bit because he thinks they are doing it anyway but are we going to give them our support. There is no question, something has to be done. It has been ten years and that is way, way, way too long to have just sat and not done anything.

Mr. Camp made a motion to approve the Resolution
Mr. Hales seconded the motion

Call vote recorded by Jennifer Kennedy

 A Mr. Hales
 Mr. Nicponski – Abstained. Mr. Nicponski said he
 will honor the investigative purpose that the Mayor
 has addressed by abstaining.
 A Mr. Camp
 A Ms. Turner

Motion passed 3-0

- 10.2 Consider a Resolution expressing the City’s intent to pursue de-annexation of the area of the City, which was annexed into the City in 2000-2003, from the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District effective January 1, 2015 subject to further study and public input.

Staff presentation: Doug Hill, Public Services Director

Mr. Hill stated this was discussed in a Council Meeting a few weeks ago. In summary the Resolution, should you choose to approve it, would support the City exploring or researching a possible de-annexation from the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District which is the garbage and recycling service provider for those residents of our City that leave east of 900 East.

To explore it, we would:

1. Notify the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District's Board of the City's intent to de-annex.
2. Mail to all the citizens in this area information about the services that are provided as they compare to the services that the City would provide.
3. Hold several public meetings with the residents in those areas and receive feedback and discussion regarding their interest in the de-annexation.
4. The Council would receive that public input and decide whether or not they would request the Salt Lake County Council to de-annex that area out of the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District boundaries and into Murray City's service area.

Mr. Hill added that ultimately it is the decision of the Salt Lake County Council to decide whether or not the de-annexation would occur. It would be left in their hands as it is up to their vote.

That is what this Resolution is, saying the Council will support the City's intent to do our due diligence to get input from the public on this issue.

Mr. Nicponski asked if what this in effect does is takes them off the County system and puts them into Murray's system

Mr. Hill said that was correct.

Mr. Nicponski asked Mr. Hill to outline the area for him again.

Mr. Hill responded it is everything east of 900 East in our city boundaries. It is basically between 900 East and Van Winkle Expressway and I-215.

Mr. Nicponski made a motion to approve the Resolution
Mr. Hales seconded the motion

Call vote recorded by Jennifer Kennedy

 A Mr. Hales
 A Mr. Nicponski
 A Mr. Camp
 A Ms. Turner

Motion passed 4-0

- 10.3 Consider a Resolution approving an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between the City and the Utah Department of Transportation to receive financing from the Federal-Aid Highway Funds in the amount of \$99,000 for the roadway project at 700 West; Bullion Street and 5900 South.

Staff presentation: Doug Hill, Public Services Director

Mr. Hill said the City applied for and received funding for what is referred to as a HAWK signal. Essentially it is a high intensity crosswalk beacon. If he could compare it to one in the City, there is one over by Intermountain Medical Center between their parking lot and the UTA parking lot. That signal is similar to the kind of signal we are talking about.

The signal would be placed, in this case, on 700 West at Bullion Street. For years the schools over there, Liberty Elementary School and Riverview Jr. High School have expressed concern to the City about their students crossing 700 West. Of course there are crossing guards there now, but this would provide additional awareness and provide additional safety for children that would be crossing 700 West. It would help other people as well.

Mr. Hill stated the grant amount the City will receive through UDOT from the Federal Government is close to \$99,000. The City will have to match that and put in \$7,200 of our own money. This is a great deal for the City; we only have to put in a very small percentage, 7% of the total cost.

The Council's approval of this agreement would allow the City to start the process of getting that signal installed.

Mr. Nicponski verified that this is at 5900 South.

Mr. Hill replied it is actually at Bullion Street at 700 West.

Mr. Hales said these signals are great. With the one over there by IMC, you almost cannot make a mistake when you see it. He added it would be great if we got funding one day for 5300 South with the new school going in.

Mr. Hill said the City made that a requirement to the School District so there will also be HAWK beacons that will be installed there.

Mr. Nicponski stated this is good street safety.

Mr. Camp asked what the reference to 5900 South on this was. He noticed it in the grant paperwork as well. Was whatever it was irrelevant?

Mr. Hill responded that it was irrelevant. The signal is going to be placed at Bullion Street. He supposed 5900 South would be the nearest intersection and maybe that is why it is in there.

Mr. Camp congratulated Mr. Hill on getting this grant money.

Mr. Camp made a motion to approve the Resolution
Mr. Nicponski seconded the motion

Call vote recorded by Jennifer Kennedy

 A Mr. Hales
 A Mr. Nicponski
 A Mr. Camp
 A Ms. Turner

Motion passed 4-0

- 10.4 Consider a Resolution approving sponsorship, professional and marketing development assistance match grant applications to the Economic Development Corporation of Utah.

Staff presentation: Tim Tingey, Administrative and Development Services Director

Mr. Tingey said this is an ongoing proposal. The City submits each year for these grant funds to the Economic Development Corporation of Utah. It is for these three items:

1. Professional Development Assistance. If we receive this grant they provide some assistance for educational opportunities for staff to attend conferences.
2. Marketing Match Grant. This is for us to update our marketing brochures and information. We then submit that through a variety of means to businesses.
3. Sponsorship Match Grant. This is to have a funding match for us to continue our Economic Development Symposium here in Murray.

Staff is requesting this approval. As part of the application, they require the governing board give their approval so there is a Resolution that is attached. Staff is recommending approval of the Resolution.

Mr. Hales made a motion to approve the Ordinance
Mr. Camp seconded the motion

Call vote recorded by Jennifer Kennedy

 A Mr. Hales
 A Mr. Nicponski
 A Mr. Camp
 A Ms. Turner

Motion passed 4-0

11. Mayor

11.1 Report

Mayor Eyre stated that the City has received the RFP for the software. There were a total of seven bidders on that RFP. The range of different bids was pretty incredible; you would not think they all read the same qualifications but they did. The process of being reviewed right now is being done through Mr. Tingey and his office. In the next few months, we should have a very good idea of what that RFP has resulted in as far as the cost of the new software system here in the City.

The Salt Lake County Economic Development group will have its meeting here. The place where that meeting is going to be held is yet to be determined because we have not secured a location for that. It will be on March 7, 2014 from 2:00 – 4:00 p.m. There is an author, Charles Landry who wrote the book “The Creative City” who will be there that evening. He will talk about his book and his ideas to how a city should be innovative and creative. It should be an interesting afternoon.

On March 26, 2014 the Murray Economic Development Symposium will be held across the street at the Doty Education Center over at the IMC Hospital.

Mayor Eyre said the GIS group has put together an incredible array of maps available through the internet. While he was up at the State Legislature they had a display up there in the rotunda of the State Capital. What they were able to show everybody walking by and what they have done for mapping in the City is incredible. They are available now for training to any member of the City Council who would like to go through training on how to make yourself available to all the maps through the internet.

Through the efforts of Mr. Hill and many people on his staff the City now has glass recycling. There will be two locations for glass recycling. The dumpster’s for that glass recycling will be available on March 1, 2014. The two locations are one here in Murray Park and the other is over on the Jordan River Parkway at Germania Park. They are in two different locations in the City, one more to the west and one more centrally located here in the park.

Mayor Eyre stated that the golf course is open. Because it was open this last President’s Day weekend, it was an incredible weekend for the golf course. It was very profitable and there were a lot of people out there enjoying the weather and the golf course itself. It is in good shape and it is nice to have it open this early in the spring.

Many people are aware about the unfortunate accident that happened on August 1, 2010 where two kayakers lost their lives on the Jordan River because of the spillway that is located there on Winchester. The City has had the opportunity to go before a legislative body up on Capitol Hill a little over a week ago and present

a proposal that they increase their funding. It was in the Governor's finance budget to give us \$100,000 towards the completion of remodeling that spillway to where it would not be a hazard for people who are doing recreational activities on the river. The total estimated cost on being able to do that is \$665,000. We asked for additional funding; we asked for the Legislature to appropriate half of that. The other half would be discussed between us and the County on how we would be able to do that.

We have received preliminary indications that we are going to be able to receive that additional funding from the Governor on that which would be very beneficial to us and help us to facilitate correcting that problem on the river.

11.2 Questions for the Mayor

Mr. Nicponski said the restaurant business is bustling. He could not get a restaurant to give him a table on Valentine's Day, they were all booked.

Mr. Hales said that regarding Macquarie, he knows Mr. Hill has work hard and put in a lot of time. He knows that Mr. Zollinger and Mayor Eyre have done the same. Mr. Brass and Ms. Turner have been on that committee and have worked hard and it has not gone unnoticed.

12. Adjournment

Jennifer Kennedy, City Recorder